

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/810,753	03/16/2001	Gustavo R. Rivera	COVA-002/00US	COVA-002/00US 9257	
22903	7590 12/30/2003		EXAMINER		
COOLEY GODWARD LLP			CUFF, MICHAEL A		
ATTN: PATENT GROUP 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER			3627		
RESTON, VA 20190-5061			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	>W	_			
Office Action Summary		09/810,	753	RIVERA ET AL.					
		Examin	er	Art Unit					
		Michael		3627					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on ti	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	dress				
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no elion. s, a reply within the st period will apply and y statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be tir atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>25 June 2001</u> .							
2a) <u></u>	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	· ·								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.		,				
Applicat	ion Papers _.		• • •		*, , * * *				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Ex-	aminer.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or t	o) objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
=	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	-			` '				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have be uments have be e priority docum Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
13)□ / s 3	See the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do ince a specific reference was included in the CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign languages	mestic priority (the first sentend ge provisional a	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e of the specification of application has been rec	e) (to a provisiona r in an Application eived.	Data Sheet.				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do eference was included in the first sentence								
Attachmer									
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/810,753

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schroeder et al. (US 2002/0099735).

Schroeder et al. shows, figure 4, a system and method for conducting electronic commerce. The system shows a method of processing data exchanged between a first trading partner and a second trading partner is disclosed that includes receiving a first data file from the first trading partner, the first data file having a first file format (buyernative-format) and being an electronic representation of at least one document. The method further includes translating the received first data file into at least one second data file (neutral-format) having an XML file format and transforming each of the at least one second data files into a normalized third data file having an XML file format, wherein the third data file is normalized according to a data format associated with the second trading partner (supplier-native-format). The data file can be translated to any number of different formats without referring back to the source data file. Thus, various embodiments of the present invention accept a data file from one company ("Sending

Application/Control Number: 09/810,753

Art Unit: 3627

Company"), regardless of the format used by the Sending Company. Within that data file may be several documents (e.g., purchase orders) relating to a number of different transactions. Each document may be destined for a different company ("Receiving Company"). Various embodiments of the present invention also offer additional advantages in the way the data is delivered and presented to the Receiving Company. (page 1, second column) The system includes a library of maps (translation maps) from which the user can choose including the common formats that the system will need to accept from the different businesses. (page 3, first column) The system portal 510 may be configured to automatically notify (acknowledgement) the sender or receiver of the document when certain business conditions are met. (page 8, first column)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chehade et al., Gilles, and Reeder show translation systems of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (703) 308-0610. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

Art Unit: 3627

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Michael Cuff

December 12, 2003